Integration of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Forensic Accounting: Opportunities and Risks

Mohammed Luqman

Abstract

This journal discusses how artificial intelligence and machine learning can be applied in the field of forensic accounting. It starts with the explanation of how these tools are used as the parts of the financial surveillance and fraud detection systems. It points out that the activities that these technologies are about to guide us on are not in the research of fraud but in preempt measures of ensuring that the fraud does not take place. Such discussions are grounded in the theoretical implications and arguments such as Technology Acceptance Model, the Innovation Diffusion Theory and a few ethics that provide discussions about diffusion, adoption and dilemmas that the automation may present to professional ethics and issues.

The most important aspects of AI in analytics include; predictive analytics, neural networks, natural language process, and automated evidence documentation. They are contrasted in many dimensions, accuracy, speed, scalability, real-time tracking, etc. In contrast to other publications, the study focuses on real-world adoption, with case studies of Peru, Rwanda, Nigeria, Canada and Thailand. At the same time, there are adoption issues related to infrastructure, training, and regulation. There are also negative consequences of increasing reliance on automated systems, algorithmic bias, lack of data, security over data, uncertainty regarding legal admissibility, and, of course, the total absence of any legal framework. These issues deserve separate research. Right now, the most critical are the ethical and legal issues around defining the professional ethical boundaries, the absence of any legal framework, and the overemphasis on the autonomy of AI systems. The most exciting directions for research are the fusion of AI with blockchain, big data, and the Internet of Things, collaboration with other systems, and evolution on self-improving vectors. There are numerous and profound opportunities but success lies in responsible ethical adoption. Artificial intelligence is.

1.Introduction

The emergence of two decades in the world has invariably seen construction on the introduction of new technology at a higher pace than ever before with the likes of artificial intelligence and machine learning coming in to change the situation in almost all fields. These technologies are transforming the methods of the field and substituting the methods with the computer-based methods to analyze vast records in real time to identify anomalies and provide detection of fraud more effectively than the manual methods (Malladhi 2023). Specifically, AI has compelled forensic accountants to alter how they carry out investigations by altering the emphasis of investigations to fraud prevention. This shows that there is a shift in technology, and even the manner in which finances are kept and recorded.

This is after the sophistication in financial activities and the complexity that is on the increase in fraud. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning offers solutions based on predictive algorithm and anomaly detection models (Sathe, 2025). These types of models establish patterns of variation that cannot be realized with the naked eye. Therefore, the high capability of identifying patterns in both structured and unstructured financial information allows forensic accountants to devise logical quicker and thus the payment and the possibility of executing the fraud are minimized (Adelakun et al, 2024). Overall, the techniques are not only improved in the efficiency, but also changes the scope of the forensic practice.

The use of the artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies in forensic accounting is evident in the detection of fraud and its deterrence. Researchers have also identified that their use in Canada and Rwanda,

among others, has enhanced the precision of fraud detection, and even have ethical and regulatory concerns of over-reliance on the algorithms (Akomolafe, 2024; Benimana and Celestin, 2025). Scholars express the need to be cautious and exercise artificial intelligence professional skepticism, over reliance on it may expose the investigation to algorithmic bias and manipulation (Solanki, 2025).

The purpose of this research is threefold. First, it attempts to investigate the role of artificial intelligence and machine learning in forensic accounting, particularly in their application in fraud detection systems. Second, it seeks to ascertain the level to which these technologies augment efficiency, precision, and speed in forensic investigations. Third, it explores the broader implications, both ethical and professional, as well as institutional, of incorporating artificial intelligence into forensic practice. The research is of global scope, using case studies from Nigeria, Rwanda, Canada, and Asia, to illustrate the global spread of these technologies and the diverse consequences that follow from their adoption (Adelakun et al., 2024; Akomolafe, 2024; Benimana & Celestin, 2025). The research is guided by both conceptual and empirical frameworks which enables it to broaden the understanding of the transformations that artificial intelligence is bringing to forensic accounting.

There isn't any doubt with advancements in technology, machine learning, and artificial intelligence, forensic accounting will be transformed. It is no longer simply a form of discipline but rather a blend of innovation and advancement in computing. The fusion of computer technology and forensic strength provide multiple levels of fraud prevention. However, the ethics, accountability, and discretion of a profession have tremendous value. With the increasing levels of sophistication in the world of finance, artificial intelligence will enable forensic accountant to practice efficiently in protecting vital economic structures. As a result, this research positions itself in the integration of theory and practice to address and solve issues related to forensic accounting.

2. Conceptual and Theoretical Underpinnings

The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning into forensic accounting has sparked discussions about its definition and possible applications. As noted by Saifudin et. al. (2025), artificial intelligence encompasses computers and software capable of completing tasks characterized as human-centered, such as reasoning, problem-solving, and recognizing patterns. Within accounting, artificial intelligence is distinguished by its processing extremely repetitive, multifaceted, and data-heavy tasks. Machine learning, a form of artificial intelligence, stressed how some algorithms are able to 'learn' as they gain access to more data, performing predictive analyses and identifying irregularities far surpassing human capabilities (Bulimu & Onyuma, 2025). With these definitions, forensic accounting has a starting point to access sophisticated methods to discover, assess, and mitigate financial irregularities.

The application of AI and machine learning to forensic accounting marks an integration of traditional accounting processes and advanced technologies; AI and machine learning are increasingly used to innovate predictive and diagnostic techniques to detect fraud (Akinbowale et al., 2023). For example, AI systems, driven by big data technologies, are able to minimize the chances of corporate internal fraud by analyzing behavioral patterns of employees and flagging abnormal transactions. Integrative machine learning systems, as used in the Peruvian context, are able to comb through diverse and extensive company records which enables the identification of fraud cases that would otherwise not be recognized (Chávez-Díaz et al., 2024). These instances of successful AI applications in forensic accounting show that AI enhances accounting efficiency, as exhibited in other fields, while redefining the boundaries of accounting forensic investigation.

Technology Acceptance Model can be used to find out the process of adoption and use of artificial intelligence tools among the Attention Forensic Accountants Chapter Members. According to the model, usefulness and ease of use of the technology determine the extent to which the technology will be adopted in an organization. Artificial intelligence is value-added in the scenario of

students and young professionals in the accounting profession, as it will be demonstrated to positively influence diagnostic and risk management abilities, so a favoring preference in the tools is a by-product of expertise and experience (Meesook et al., 2025). One of the key areas of interest of the model is training and education that practitioners must undergo to shift their tradition to forensic accounting practices through artificial intelligence. The ease of use literacy is essential because there is the possibility of resistance that must be surmounted to reach an optimal level of use of the technology to the level of professional application.

Innovation Diffusion Theory goes into detail of how fast and how big the use of AI in forensic accounting is. The argument on this theory is that innovations propagate in use social systems because of relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability and observability. In forensic accounting, the use of machine learning is driven by its relative advantage in fraud detection and its compatibility with data-driven auditing (Dash et al., 2025). Case studies show that organizations that use AI for internal control improvement do not merely lower risk, but also increase stakeholder trust (Al Washah et al., 2024). Nevertheless, diffusion is uneven across territories. For example, developed countries have quickly adopted these technologies, whereas developing countries, like Nigeria, show much slower diffusion of such AI tools, which are helpful to its public organizations for improving administrative accountability and financial transparency, due to lack of infrastructure and education (Igbokwe et al., 2025).

Ethical theories add more layers to the use of artificial intelligence in forensic accounting by focusing on the issues of privacy, responsibility, and professional obligations. As pointed out by Ayad and El Mezouari in 2024, fraud detection can be justified from a utilitarian perspective by the use of artificial intelligence due to the lower financial crime rates and ability to safeguard more people. On the other hand, a deontological perspective would argue that forensic accountants have obligations to the ethical standards of the profession, which in this case means that reliance on artificial intelligence should not erode human judgment, nor should there be any blind faith in the outputs of the algorithms. In the same year, Veledar et al. have also argued that AI may pose systemic risks such as bias in decisions and over-reliance on black-box algorithms when not controlled. In this regard, technologies should be matched with the ethical governance policy to prevent the misuse of artificial intelligence but also maintain its responsibility in financial investigations.

Further, the implementation of the ethical theories entails handling of effectiveness and equilibrium. The integrity of the procedures by forensic accounting specialists working with AI tools should be preserved, and, to this end, the confidentiality of the sensitive financial material should be secured. This role is sharp especially in the situation where sensitive data is handled in large volumes with both sophisticated machine learning systems (Karthik and Varaparla, 2025). Given the risks of ethical breaches, misuse, and misinterpretation, the frameworks of ethical AI must ensure that the technology is used by correct thinkers and practitioners for the purpose of justice and not for greater control and oppression. Reviews say that the profession needs to build an ethical culture around artificial intelligence that compliance checks where algorithms are actively assessed for balance, responsibility protocols ensured, and oversight guaranteed (Saifudin et al., 2025).

3. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Techniques in Forensic Accounting

3.1 Natural Language Processing for Fraud Detection

Detecting off-shore activities such as accounting fraud can be done using Natural Language Processing (NLP) . Natural Language Processing is a huge aspect of artificial intelligence and it is increasingly essential in forensic accounting as it can derive unstructured financial and text data. Accounts of forensic investigations that transcend national boundaries typically include contracts, emails, audit reports, and financial disclosures. Data containing attempts at fraudulently disguised linguistic fraud containing criminal intent is repeated within voluminous stacks of documents. Natuaral Language Processing systems scan linguistic data to identify semantic and syntactic slippage in order to

facilitate forensic accounting whereby monetary discrepancies and dishonest communication conduits are midlessly used (Al Mubarrat, 2025). These advanced systems of digital communication are able to detect and examine minute volumes of text sentiment and sentiment and financial reporting triads, particularly when evidence is deliberately concealed or misreported (Vishwakarma, 2025).

The placed under examination, as a consequence, the application of sathe (2025) refers to the ability of financial demons that attenuation anomalous evanescence of the documents to ascertain the narration of the text. Together with the above, Gartman and Pabillano (2024) states that in the accounting practice domain, forensic practitioners are able, and uses, to employ novel, assistive, augmented and evolved technologies to process the text of clients in the workflows to machined flag target phenomenon stipulating the employment of terminology, the overuse of denied transactions, and the underreporting to the books. This goes in tandem with placed phenomenon alluded to in x relative to the world, and the phenomenon as a whole, where the analytics of the data to track fraud is done in much haste unstructured in nature (Aman, 2024).

As with other technologies, their use has been growing in western Africa, where their employment has been associated with improvements in detecting internal fraud. As per the analysis of Akinbowale et al. (2023) evidenced from blending forensic accounting with big-data analytics in the banking domain, the probability of fraud detection dipping, and the possibility of accounting lapses in linguistic data processed with transactional data is augmented, paradoxically, when boundaries of parameters are broadened. It augurs well that the application of natural language processing should inform a paradigm shift in forensic science, and accounting in particular, that aims to supplant the shifting paradigms with cross and interconnectivity of technologies.

3.2 .Neural Networks for Anomaly Detection

Neural networks are indispensable in anomaly detection in forensic accounting for its ability to capture complex nonlinearities in large financial datasets. Unlike traditional statistical approaches, neural networks identifies and learns from historical fraud patterns, and with the addition of new data, fraud prediction capacity is enhanced (Zheng et al., 2025). This ability to adjust makes such models particularly efficient in discovering minute, high-risk anomalies which are commonly missed by traditional auditing methods.

As illustrated in the works of Adelakun et al. (2024), the application of neural networks in detecting computerized patterns of irregular transactions in the financial systems of corporations is growing. Their case studies show how deep learning techniques offer faster and more accurate results compared to traditional methods, this in turn allows forensic accountants to allocate limited investigative resources to the fraud detection. In the same way, Solanki (2025) claims neural networks, and the fusion of other forms of AI, is establishing new paradigms in forensics science, with the emphasis of 'forensics' referring to the continuous detection of anomalies rather than episodic.

Practically applied neural networks have been used in both developed and developing countries in fib detection systems. In Rwanda, to Benimana and Celestin (2025), machine learning models particularly developed for financial institutions based on neural networks, enhances the accuracy of fraud detection tremendously. Ikumapayi and Ayankoya (2025) offer further insight on how fraud detection systems based on neural networks in real-time enable prompt action, thereby cutting down losses and improving safeguards. These examples underline the increasing importance of neural networks in forensic accounting across the globe.

3.3 .Predictive Analytics and Data Mining

Predictive analytics and data mining form the basis of contemporary forensic accounting by providing the ability to predict possible fraudulent behaviors and to identify entities at risk of potential fraud. Predictive analytics focuses on creating models based on historical financial data that helps in projecting future anomalies, whereas data mining focuses on the identification and extraction of useful hidden patterns from very large data sets (Malladhi, 2023). Collectively, the two approaches accelerate fraud proactive management by

transforming forensic accounting from reactive to predictive investigations.

3.4 .Automated Evidence Gathering and Pattern Recognition

Al Mubarrat (2025) has indicated that under the direction of artificial intelligence, big data is useful in collecting and processing evidence to make sure that all potential data points, such as structured and unstructured data, are observed and processed. This is reinforced by the observation of Zheng et al. (2025) that advanced pattern recognition, which is the means by which 'intelligent systems' receive and assess incoming data, helps them in associating various data streams to reveal fraudulent connections that are hidden across multiple datasets. Explains Vishwakama (2025), automated systems in accounting are of great significance in automated systems that track continuous streams of information, thus enabling the detection of threats before they have the ability to cause serious harm.

Practically, pattern recognition has played a key role in uncovering collusion networks and in discovering specific evidence of striking clusters of dealings and recurrent manipulations in the books of accounts. Solanki (2025) predicts the merging of systems in the future of forensic accounting whereby the collection of automated evidence and pattern recognition embedded are systemically combined with the end goal of a mainstream accounting. Even though corresponding to increased efficiency, such advances present ethical concerns in terms of data privacy, surveillance, and a range of professional responsibility (Ganapathy, 2024). Keeping this in mind, forensic accountants should balance automation and the necessity of manual ethical decision making, so that the technological progress can be used to support justice and not to deny it.

The creation of artificial intelligence and machine learning (natural language processing, neural networks, predictive analytics and automated evidence collection) has greatly altered the limits and performance of forensic accounting. With the help of sophisticated technologies, the task of the fraud detector, anomaly detector and investigational process has been simplified to help the forensic accountant to combat more complex financial crimes. However, the second aspect of concern that the emphasis on the integration of the technologies brings up is the issue of ethics, professionalresponsibility and overdependence on technology. As the body of research evidence in different contexts indicates, these forensic techniques are not only complementary to the practice of forensic accounting, but are actually prerequisite elements that constitute the practice in the future.

4.Opportunities Presented by Artificial Intelligence And Machine Learning

Forensic accounting is slowly evolving into a paradigm shift beyond the time-tested conventional approach to a paradigm that is supported with artificial intelligence, machine learning, etc. Fraud is not simple and it is growing. Such technologies also expand and make inquiries efficient. The end-game changers of Forensic Accounting is predictive and automated solutions as well as big data because they transform the thinking of reactionary thinking on accountability to prevention and prevention strategies (Akinbowale et al., 2023). These are greatly enhanced innovations in terms of accuracy of fraud detection, acceleration and scalability of fraud investigation, predictive analysis of fraud risk and real-time transaction monitoring. These technologies are a move towards increased corporate accountability and forensic accounting comes in as the automated protector of the financial soundness (Bulimu & Onyuma, 2025).

4.1 Enhanced Accuracy in Fraud Detection

Among the most essential AI uses, fraud detection accuracy enhancement can be mentioned. Manual, time-intensive audits and the processing of judgment which is essential to traditional accounting forensics do not become a problem, but, again, when dealing with data volumes so vast, there is a probability of errors that are beyond phenomenal. The artificial intelligence systems use algorithms to identify the presence of a subtle outlier and suspicious patterns in structured and unstructured financial information (Saifudincet al., 2025). This type of technology accuracy reduces the occurrence of false-positives and the chances of detecting the hidden efforts used by fraudsters.

Artificial intelligence models have raised the accuracy of fraud detection in auditing systems, especially in high-volume systems like big firms and financial institutions (Dash et al., 2025). Chavez-Diaz et al. (2024) were able to determine financial misreporting in Peruvian companies and show the use of integrated machine learning algorithms to tackle the problem. In the African context, public institutions in Nigeria have enhanced the accuracy of financial reports as the public waas able to apply AI systems to improve accountability (Igbokwe et al. 2025). This pure gives proof to the benefit of AI systems in fraud detection in both private and public institutions.

4.2 .Speed and Scalability of Investigations

Forensic accounting investigations benefit with AI and machine learning technology by having unprecedented speed and scalability. Reviewing extensive datasets is tedious and time-consuming in manual audits. Artificial intelligence, on the other hand, can process millions of transactions in seconds, achieving timeliness and comprehensiveness in the investigations (Al Washah et al., 2024). These systems' scalability enables forensic accountants to tackle increasingly sophisticated fraud schemes that span multiple jurisdictions and involve complex financial systems.

Investigative backlog and inefficiency are mitigated with the deployment of artificial intelligence tools in forensic accounting audits. "Artificial intelligence frameworks in legislation and financial institutions help in resolving the issue of internal fraud by minimizing the time required to investigate, thus making these processes more responsive to the organizational needs" (Akinbowale et al. 2023). More broadly, in the context of rapid digitalization, the strategic advantage of scalability in Al Ayad and El Mezouari (2024) remains unchallenged. With an ever-increasing volume of transactions to an organization, the risk to that entity is ever vulnerable to fraud and deception, and the artificial intelligence systems that capture these transactions ensure that the integrity of the organization is sustained.

4.3 .Enhanced Predictive Capabilities

An opportunity, otherwise missing, is the sophisticated forecasting abilities of Artificial Intelligence. Unlike the older ways which are predominantly reactive, the building blocks of machine learning are aimed at analyzing data history to predict future outcomes. In forensic accounting, analytics is able to identify possible fraudsters or people at risk of committing misconduct, allowing the accountant to act ahead of time and intervene (Bulimu & Onyuma, 2025).

As highlighted by Karthik and Varaparla (2025) Forensic accountants are able to receive risk scores attributed to certain transactions based on analyzed data which predictive analytics then use to identify high is transactions needing additional scrutiny. In the same vein, Meesook et al. (2025) report that the younger accountants which are Artificial Intelligence literate have better predictive and forecasting outcomes because of the ability to make sense of the predictions. Predictive machine learning models, as demonstrated by Chávez-Díaz et al. (2024), have the ability to foresee patterns of fraud by recognizing patterns of behavior, even in companies based in Peru. These findings, taken in unison, show that the ability to predict outcomes of certain scenarios enhances the possibility of detecting fraud and elevates forensic accounting to being able to prevent it as opposed to just responding after the event.

4.4.Real-Time Monitoring of Transactions

Forensic accounting has been transformed by the AI to be reactive to change to active surveillance because of the ability to track transactions in real time. It allows the identification of potentially harmful actions in real-time and allows an organization to respond to them in a timely manner to prevent potentially crippling financial losses. Similarly, according to Akinbowale et al. (2023), forensic accounting, combined with big data systems, would help to monitor the banking transactions, therefore reducing the risk of internal fraud.

Igbokwe et al. (2025) also found the same in the case of Nigeria public sector organizations, where AI systems helped in the real-time instant accountability in the financial reporting cycle. Active surveillance of this kind enhances transparency and reduces fraud in

probability. Dash et al. (2025) also demonstrate that auditing AI models in real-time enable auditors to understand the risk and apply avoidance strategies during the audit but not during the post-audit. On the contrary, Veledar et al. (2024) suggest the reverse, stating that the more efficiency real-time monitoring offers, the more the number of ethical problems involving loss of privacy and unaccountable monitoring is increased. This is a big chance that must be neutralized by ethical provisions that will emerge.

Forensic accounting can be extensively assisted by artificial intelligence and machine learning due to their high accuracy and speed, prognosis, and long-term observation. In such developments the forensic accountants will be free to meet the emerging complications of the financial crime and still remain in their professional integrity.

5.Risks and Challenges
5.1 Compilation Bias and Injustice
With the increase and development of technology, it is effortless to state that the use of Artificial Intelligence comes with its own problems. The use of such systems comes with a phenomenon called Algorithmic Bias. In essence, this will represent the capturing and detection of fraud and the whole forensic process, without any slacks. Bias and discrimination within AI are rampant in the society we are in today and this, if not properly addressed, will lead to discrimination that is unaccounted for on a mass scale even if it is done on a micro scale.

Zheng et al. (2025) state that with the aid of machine learning, anomaly detection has improved. Nonetheless, some algorithms, referred to as black box systems, are too complicated; hence, forensic accountants still do not know how the conclusions were reached. Such an issue of transparency aggravates the question of equity. Similarly, according to Benimana and Celestin (2025), the use of algorithms to detect fraud, particularly in the Rwandan context, tend to overlook some of the contextual aspects related to the practices of financial reporting, hence, draw faulty conclusions. It has been pointed out that forensic accountants will be the professionals most prone to exercise that judgment, and even that that, to a degree, will still require regulation to curb some of these risks (Ikumapayi & Ayankoya, 2025).

Indeed, in Solanki (2025) they have located the point that the convergence of artificial intelligence and forensic accounting can act in a humiliating way to compound the question of equity which will require redress through the standard audit of biasness. This makes the issue of algorithmic fairness to be a question that cuts across the technical threshold since it is a matter of professional responsibility based on efficient and consistent observation, moral vigilance and audit of its impartiality.

5.2 Data Security and Privacy Concerns
Data breaches and privacy invasion is the other significant threat. Artificial intelligence systems in forensic accounting have to deal with large volumes of sensitive data. This then improves the analytical ability, and it generates vulnerabilities, which can be exploited (Al Mubarrat, 2025). Forensic accountants using artificial intelligence ought to promote investigative concentration and efficiency along with the invasive data protection policies.

Adelakun et al. (2024) indicate that big data driven fraud detection systems can be excessively defenseless if the cybersecurity system is deficient. Even though using big data technologies enhances the internal fraud mitigation mechanisms, the systems, as shown by Akinbowale et al. (2023), increase the probability of unauthorized access. As Akomolafe (2024) illustrates, the adoption of artificial intelligence in forensic practice in Canada greatly enhances forensic practices but raises alarm concern in data compliance practices.

As mentioned by Alsulami (2025), the use of big data and artificial intelligence with no proper privacy protection measures is likely to harm the stakeholder trust on the organization, as well as the organizational security. According to Malladhi (2023), the use of cloud platforms for storing evidential documents raises the possibility of data leakage. In this regard, forensic accounting needs to have not only new technologies but new organizational frameworks to ensure compliance with global data protection passport while protecting sensitive information with regard to fraud.

5.3Automated Systems
Automation, and technology in particular, has raised new challenges in a reliance on Systems

such as Automated Artificial Intelligence. Critics argue that such systems could be abused by users, and optimized without any conflict and rational thought by a user. Forensic accounting is based on analysis and a thorough understanding on the questioning, the ethical process, and the reasoning as to the surrounding factors. With the over utilization and dependence on systems such as Artificial Intelligence, outputs and results become algorithmic and calculated without any analytics towards negatives, wrong situations, or calculations (Ikumapayi & Ayankoya, 2025).

Gartman and Pabillano (2024) has brought to light that in the United Stated of America, there have been forensic accountants who have raised the alarm on the issue of younger accountants who in their work, over-use Artificial Intelligence without analysis and questioning, thus doing a poor professional Forensic analysis. Aman (2024) argues that nothing is more false than the notion that technology can substitute the analysis and the figuring out of more complex cases which are interlinked with organizational culture. Ganapathy (2024) has raised the caution that the over use of the Artificial Intelligence Systems give rise to the lack of accountability by professionals who are no more in charge of the systems.

Africa is a developing region. In the region, organizations implementing Vishwakarma (2025) points out, 'artificial intelligence based forensic tools run the risk of omitting professional capacity development and widening the skills gap.' This overdependence also erodes resilience: Automated systems, if compromised or broken, forensic accountants may not be able to perform autonomous checks. Hence, the balancing act artificial intelligence and the degree of human control is a vital challenge the field faces.

5.4.Legal Admissibility of AI-Generated Evidence

The last challenge deals with how the judicial system views evidence which has been created by artificial intelligence tools. Traditionally, the courts have a requirement that forensic evidence has to be open, able to be validated, and able to be challenged. This is, however, not the case with many artificial intelligence models which are "black box models" which produce output without any explainable

reasoning as to how they arrived at the answer (Zheng et al. 2025). The absence of explainability and hence transparency casts doubt as to whether anything produced by the artificial intelligence meets the legal evidence threshold.

In their observations, Akhan (2024), notes that artificial intelligence tools do have their merits during investigations however at the time AI is being used, the courtroom's perception is that the tools lack reliability and are lacking in interpretability. This issue also lies in the fact that, as Malladhi (2023), points out, a lack of consistent regulation across jurisdictions on the matter of is artificial intelligence evidence adds a burden to forensic accountants. For that reason, as emphasized by Adelakun et al. (2024), forensic accountants need to use other conventional methods of investigation in addition to artificial intelligence tools in order to increase the credibility to the findings and the evidence.

Concerned, in Canada, Akomolafe (2024) reports similar issues regarding the lack of supporting documentation for the admission of legal artificial intelligence outputs. Al Mubarrat (2025) observes, 'in artificial intelligence investigations, the legal issue remains the chain of custody. Automated evidence collection may not have enough audit trails to prove custody.' This fact indicates the argument that not only the technological aspect of using artificial intelligence in accounting of crime scenes is relevant, but also issues related to the law and legislation.

The problems and dangers that artificial intelligence is likely to cause to the field of forensic accounting, such as bias in algorithms, information privacy, excessive dependence on automation, and legal admissibility are sufficient to prove the challenge of using the given technology. Although there are fresh opportunities presented by the use of these technology, excessive reliance on this technology may raise ethical issues of fairness and safety not to mention the professional integrity of the discipline. These risks are not easy to control and, to create fairness, comply with control automation laws, balance data protection mechanisms, and protect artificial intelligence, and defensive legal regulations are the steps that have to be taken. These are some of the measures that are required to make sure that forensic accounting is in

control and has confidence but fully utilizes artificial intelligence tools.

6.Case Studies and Empirical Insights

Forensic accounting is used worldwide, and it has been experimented on various industries and nations with regards to the practice of AI and machine learning. The example studies and evidence reveal the pros and cons of this integration. They focus on what fraud control, accountability, and internal control boosting organizations gain from technology. The international spread of artificial intelligence in forensic accounting, what criminology related industries integrating AI auditing artificial intelligence auditing have gained, and how the successful, failed integrations have comparably fared. These experiences are invaluable. AI and machine learning have a broad range of application, but require integration tailored to the specific organization and its culture. Intelligent accounting serves cases tailored to the organization. These examples demonstrate the need to embrace the proposed integrations actively.

6.1.Global Examples of AI in Forensic Investigations

As noted in the documents of Latin America, AItechnology has already begun implementing AI-based forensic investigations, machine learning particularly. Chávez-Díaz et. Al (2024) describes how integrated machine learning algorithms are able to identify fraudulent practices in Peruvian companies. Such practices are regarded as AI in forensic areas, albeit in an underregulated context. An analogous example is the work of Akinbowale et. Al (2023), who showcase the prowess of machine learning algorithm frameworks in Africa. Combing forensic accounting as big data technologies in frameworks has altered the banks. Clients and internal fraud risks have remarkably heightened owing to the employees' behavioral and transactional imbalances being monitored.

In Asia, the education demographic and specifically accounting students stand to benefit as documented in the works of Meesook et al. (2025). The authors show the hands-on employment AI technologies in education and how they simplify the processes of diagnosis to students, an integration that impacts strategic approaches. Such tenders of knowledge students and Meesook work

positively, technology such as AI used technologically in auditing processes, as shown by Saifudin et. Al (2025) are bound to work in reinforcement as the context demonstrates. Such proofs as indicated by the authors and in points of traditional auditing become nuggets the numerous Asian firms rushing towards in such contexts.

Igbokwe et al. (2025) analyze the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools by government and nongovernmental agencies to improve the accountability of reports submitted in Africa. This is documented by the fact that Bulimu and Onyuma (2025) report-changes in the trend in East Africa whereby the incorporation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly affecting the corporate accounting arena. Such cross-national case studies suggest that despite the setting, AI is changing the practice of forensic accounting the world over.

6.2 .Learnings in the Industries that have Adopted AI-Based Auditing

What we study in the lessons of artificial intelligence auditing make us aware of what it requires to be a success. Dash et al. (2025) point to the financial services industry as an example of the first to adopt new technology and the research paper shows how artificial intelligence can be used to both prevent fraud and evaluate risk in auditing when done on a large scale. They report that artificial intelligence is the most useful in the industries of complex and large-scale fraud and data.

The banking industry is one of the cases that can be used to explain how artificial intelligence has been used as a risk mitigation instrument. According to Al Washah et al. (2024), artificial intelligence applications are most productive when the internal control system is in place because the latter allows detecting anomalies at the earliest possible stage before they develop into a systemic-level fraud. Similarly, Akinbowale et al. (2023) highlight the fact that with big data analytics, the danger of internal fraud decreases significantly due to forensic accounting.

Despite the many benefits, industries often bring to light multiple concerns. Veledar et al. (2024) caution that while artificial intelligence improves the capabilities of fraud detection systems, its potential overuse or misuse may undermine the accountant's professional judgement and lead to an abdication of

responsibility to the 'black box' of algorithms. Ayad and El Mezouari (2024) underscore that the challenges of any organization lies in the balancing of the available opportunities against potential ethical challenges and technological overextension. These lessons suggest that achieving success is contingent upon more than simply seamless technological adoption, but also intersectional ethical considerations, properly designed and rigorous training, and continuous organizational compliance.

6.3 .Comparative Analysis of Successful and Failed Integrations

From a comparative perspective, there are clear differences between successful and unsuccessful integration of artificial intelligence into forensic accounting. Successful integrations are often characterized by a cohesive and purposeful integration of technology, culture, and people. Take, for example, Karthik and Varaparla (2025), who state that organizations that deployed data analytics together with forensic accounting had exceptional improvements in fraud detection because they trained accountants to understand AI outputs. Likewise, Igbokwe et al. (2025) report successful cases in public organizations across Nigeria where artificial intelligence complemented, rather than substituted, human discretion to improve public sector accountability and transparency.

In contrast, the unsuccessful integrations are often aligned to the lack of sufficient infrastructure, inadequate coaching, or the presence of change avoidance. Saifudin et al. (2025) mention in some companies, AI tools performed below expectations because accountants did not have the requisite literacy to use the tools effectively. Veledar et al. (2024) also noting failed implementations where excessive reliance on poorly understood algorithms resulted in professional and ethical issues. Bulimu and Onyuma (2025 cite) that the East African industries were in artificial intelligence use and adoption lagged behind other regions due to lack investment in data governance and artificial intelligence systems.

These comparative insights emphasize that while there is great promise in artificial intelligence, its accomplishment in forensic accounting rests upon thoughtful amalgamation, constant capacity enhancement, and organizational preparedness. It is the management, governance, and integration of the deployed technologies that determines whether an institution will succeed or fail, and not the technology itself.

7.Ethical and Regulatory Considerations

The opportunities and ethical and regulatory headwinds that accompany the development of AI and software technology in forensic accounting come with both. The issues to do with professional responsibility, regulatory controls and human judgement combined with automation are concerns that can be raised when forensic accountants apply these technologies. It is possible to conduct fraud investigations with the help of technology and to increase financial transparency but to maintain integrity in financial investigations, ethical and regulatory frameworks must be present (Aman, 2024; Ganapathy, 2024). Adoptions of AI should not undermine the values of justice, fairness and trust and the regulations it enforces should have adequate protections against unintelligent use. The use of AI in the automation of the production of evidence as well as saving and the increasing importance of such evidence reflect the importance of the regulatory and ethical control frameworks (Zheng et al., 2025).

7.1.Professional Codes of Conduct for Forensic Accountants

Accounting professionals forensics focus on integrity, confidentiality, professional skepticism, and maintaining an unbiased professional attitude. Any AI integration into forensic accounting practices needs therefore to observe these ethical principles. As Sathe (2025) explains, the process of anomalous data analysis to detect fraud involves forensic accountants ensuring that algorithmic results are adequately validated and are independently substantiated before any conclusions are arrived at. Malladhi (2023) reiterates the fact that decision-making should come first, and that AI should augment, not replace, professional judgment.

Akomolafe (2024) indicates that in Canada, the adoption of AI technology has been ethical the use frameworks for which professional bodies have established parameters of responsible AI use, ensuring disclosure and accountability in its use. Ikumapayi and Ayankoya (2025) state that protections of ethical boundaries are crucial, for without

them, there would be untrammeled use of automated fraud detection which would dilute the professional standards of rigorous investigation required by the practice. The ethical principles and precepts which undergird the discipline are therefore not disposable in the use of forensic accounting, and it is these that are easily emphasized, not relaxed, in a joint use of AI with forensic accounting.

7.2 Regulatory Responses to AI in Financial Oversight

Countries are changing their regulations due to the increasing utilization of artificial intelligence in the sector of financial monitoring.More government and professional bodies are responding to the call to set minimum benchmarks on the reliability, transparency, and accountability of AI forensic investigation applications (Alsulami, 2025). In banking, Akinbowale et al. (2023) indicate that the AI and big data technologies have been assimilated within interactional regulatory frameworks to address internal fraud. This shows that regulators are somehow weaving the use of AI into established compliance frameworks instead of isolating it as an innovation.

In Rwanda, Benimana and Celestin (2025) highlights that, regulators do appreciate the role of AIs in fraud combats, and remark the need for legislations that would guarantee their admissibility and credibility. In the same vein, Solanki (2025) states that the primary focus of the forthcoming regulatory actions should be the demands for the transparency of the AI's decision-making processes. Otherwise, there is the possibility that the AI outputs could be viewed with suspicion in the judicial system or could be excluded as part of the corporate accountability system (Adelakun et al., 2024).

7.3.Ethical Dilemmas in Automation vs. Human Judgment

The most radical dilemma is the way to strike the appropriate balance between the automation and the human judging. The implementation of AI systems to support and accelerate business processes has massive efficiency and scale. Over-reliance can, however, cause a desert of critical thinking and skepticism that is a requirement in forensic accounting (Ikumapayi & Ayankoya, 2025).

According to Gartman and Pabillano (2024), the U.S. practitioners are worried that the younger accountants are much more eager to accept the results produced by artificial intelligence than other types of analysis and calculations. While automation boosts the capability of professional accountants in investigations, it, irrespective of how limited, should not be a substitute for professional insight in understanding the subtle intent and contextual dimensions of fraud (Vishwakarma 2025). Akhan (2024) reiterates his position that reviewing the history of adoption of artificial intelligence indicates that the extents of ethical dilemmas have risen at periods when there has been more emphasis on the speed of the organizational processes than the focused ethical thinking.

Balancing ethical responsibility with automation is best exemplified in Mubarrat (2025) who points out that artificial intelligence systems should be placed in frameworks where human oversight and legal responsibility are the top priorities. Forensic accounting, by the essence of its practice, should have the ultimate approach to reasoning and decision making enhanced by the use of automation in artificial intelligence.

8.Future Directions in AI-Enabled Forensic Accounting

Forensic accounting has embraced a technologically enhanced and data-centric approach owing to the swift advance artificial intelligence and machine learning. However, the changes in the field has not come to a halt; it adjusts to change as new inventions and studies appear. In the next stage, many researchers have predicted a seamless cooperation between artificial intelligence and humans, blockchain, big data, and financial crime as new strategies will continue to emerge to combat financial crime (Solanki, 2025; Ganapathy, 2024). These strategies will influence how forensic accountants process evidence, make decisions, and uphold professional ethical standards in a highly digital economy.

Consequently, new research indicates forensic accounting will adopt a more blended model with accountants embracing a cooperative approach to technology as a means of enhancing professional productivity.

8.1.Incorporation of Blockchain and Big Data Technologies

Forensic accounting may greatly evolve as a result of artificial intelligence's integration with blockchain and big data analytics. Al Mubarrat (2025) notes that Blockchain technology allows for an unchangeable and distributed ledger, which adds a layer of additional security to financial transactions, while artificial intelligence can be employed to pinpoint and assess discrepancies within such records. The combination of these innovative technologies will create an ecosystem within which fraud is not only detectable but is also capable of being automatically prevented.

Akinbowale et al. (2023) describe frameworks provided by Big Data Technology that are being utilized in the banking industry for the prevention of fraud to analyze employees and assess their behavioral patterns with respect to transactions. Blockchain technology has the potential to enhance the work of forensic accountants by providing real-time tracking of financialtransactions with immense computing resources and full transparency. According to Adelakun, Onwubuariri, Adeniran, and Ntiakoh (2024), studies indicate that the fusion of artificial intelligence with blockchain enhances the accuracy of fraud detection due to the ability to cross-check unchangeable records.In his study, projected future forensic systems will utilize blockchain technology integrated with machine learning to improve digital evidence trails, with the data collected potentially being legally admissible (Malladhi, 2023). Similarly, Zheng et al. (2025) argue that blockchain systems configured with machine learning and big data analytics will be able to predict suspicious clusters of finances and proactively respond to fraudulent activities before they escalate. With all this information, it is reasonable to claim that blockchain technology and big data will form the backbone infrastructure all forensic accountants will rely on to develop advanced, technology-driven systems for comprehensive fraud detection.

8.2.Collaborative Intelligence (Human–AI Synergy)

An equally crucial aspect that lies in the future of forensic accounting deals with 'collaborative intelligence' where artificial intelligence assists instead of replaces human intelligence. AI has the capability of executing complex, voluminous tasks involving dataset processing where it can cross-correlate several data points, identify anomalies, and highlight concerns. However, it lacks the capacity for critical thinking, ethical proprioception, and situational awareness. Ikumapayi and Ayankoya (2025) demonstrate that, at the stage of combining algorithmic findings and auditors who certify and reconstruct them, in real-time fraud detection will reach the highest performance.

The implications of the Gartman and Pabillano (2024) reflections regarding the professional practice suggest that the outcomes gained with the use of AI which does not incorporate the human intelligence must not be perceived as the final evidence rather it is necessary to support a model of forensic verification and cross-checking that would factor in equity and reconciliation of the data. Alongside this, Aman (2024) argues that in trying to establish someone as being culpable or having an ulterior motive behind a particular wrongdoing with money, in these areas which cannot be easily calculated or established through some algorithms, there are various aspects where professional judgement is most needed.

Solanki (2025) research is a step toward hybrid forensic teams in which machines elucidate audits and accountants execute every light frame of a sliver of a high-value intellect and other tasks that interweaves the prep of the legal. This collective intelligence paradigm is more appropriate to the outlook of Veledar et al. (2024) who make it clear that the risk of professional displacement has to be avoided through conceptualizing artificial intelligence in terms of supplementing instead of substituting the human mind. In this respect, artificial intelligence family products use will demand a new generation of practitioners who are forensic and artificial intelligence literate and enable integration of human and machine work.

8.3.Pathways For Continuous Improvement And Adaptation

A third alternative focuses the need for ongoing changes in within accounting systems to enhance the performance of artificial intelligence within the frame of forensic accounting. Fraud and fraud systems are becoming faster and smarter, and ruthlessly exploit tech gaps within these systems to help themselves. Thus, there is a compelling case

for machine learning to be retrained and recalibrated to a new positive feedback loop each time a new frame or iterations of deception patterns emerge (Zheng et al. 2025). Without such calibration and retraining, these systems will not only emerge as dumb or ignorant, but overly and wrongly biased and stubborn within the legal fold of law.

Adaptability, as case studies note, is an important skill to have. Benimana and Celestin (2025) mention in Rwanda, upon retraining machine learning models on localised financial data, fraud detection accuracy improved dramatically, indicating that global models need to be adapted to local contexts. Alsulami (2025) argues that organizations need to spend on not just new technologies, but on artificial intelligence literacy as well, so that forensic accountants are able to proficiently revise, oversee, and authentication outputs.

In a similar fashion, continuous improvement, as noted by Ganapathy (2024), must broaden beyond merely the technical adjusting of components to the moral examinations of the artificial intelligence systems, ensuring there is no opacity, inequality and irresponsibility in their operations. "Evaluating and Managing IA Technologies" by Al Mubarrat (2025) argues that even though forensic investigations are done primarily on the internet, institutions need to change and incorporate new systems that frame the security, legal, and inter-field cooperation policies to maintain trust in the automated systems that use artificial intelligence. Therefore, future change will have to come from flexible systems that change with financial crime and the reform in institutions that will keep artificial intelligence within the moral and professional boundaries.

9.Conclusion

The study relating to artificial intelligence and machine learning in the field of forensic accounting has shown how the use of this technology could give rise to numerous innovative prospects, as well as the hindering difficulties it could pose. As has been established in the above sections, it is not the case that artificial intelligence and machine learning are secondary issues that are changing the landscape of fraud detection, accounting monitoring, and all the supports of accountability that such processes entail. The literature testifies to the fact that these technologies increase the operational effectiveness and increase the number of correct estimations and predictions significantly exceeding the limits of the conventional forensic and auditing processes everywhere (Adelakun et al., 2024; Zheng et al., 2025). They, however, in the application thereof are liable to risks, and on that account must be placed in a due contextualization of ethical, professional and legal critical thinking. The proactive of hand on forensic accounting is one of the issues that is reviewed. The post mortem examination of any fraudulent activity and at the same time the consideration of when the financial bleeding occurred were the limits of back then in forensic accounting. With artificial intelligence and machine learning added, the real-time fraud detection and risk assessment is changed to that. A mix of neural networks, natural language processing and predictive analytics are used by the forensic accountant professionals to genocide outliers, create financial stories and address the radically advanced perpetration of fraud. This is evidence of the transforming nature of the profession since forensic accountants are not active investigators, but active guardians of financial fraud.

Another important consideration is that the extent and the magnitudes of the forensic accounting investigations enhance with the application of artificial intelligence and machine learning. Research in other jurisdictions such as Peru, Rwanda, Canada, Nigeria, and Thailand has revealed that studies conducted with the assistance of artificial intelligence have uncovered the presence of fraud which could not have been detected by fraud through other means (Chaavez-Diaz et al., 2024; Benimana and Celestin, 2025; Akomolafe, 2024; Igbokwe et al., 2025; Meesook et al, 2025). The cases help to demonstrate that alternative intelligence in forensic accounting is essential in investigations. The contextual determinants of literacy, infrastructures, and regulatory capacity, however, contrast to influence the level of adoption. The analogy of the situation of the successful and unsuccessful adoption of the technology also leads to the further support of the fact that technology, per se, is inadequate. It should also have organizational preparedness, professional training, ethical governance and adequate utilization of technology.

That being said, the threats and the problems of artificial intelligence should not be overlooked include such risks and issues as algorithmic bias, infringement of privacy, excessive reliance on Ivory Tower thinking and machine learning, and artificial intelligence-created evidence and the stock question of its admissibility in a legal case, risks and concerns abound in the literature (Veledar et al., 2024; Ganapathy, 2024; Akhan, 2024). These problems highlight the reality that artificial intelligence technologies are not neutral technologies; they reflect the biases, inept data and sham governance that created them, and their systems. When not carefully applied with AI ethical considerations, the application of artificial intelligence might, at best, continue to promote inequity and unfairness in the form of loss of transparency and confidence in the investigative procedure. This is why the literature recommends the responsibility and ethical usage of artificial intelligence systems should be verified, and that there should be increased human responsibility, the use of frontal judgments specifically, increased rationality in the use of the tools, and more emphasis on the second order biases (Ikumapayi and Ayankoya, 2025; Al Mubarrat, 2025).

The history of the development of this discourse has given rise to equally significant ethical and regulatory debates. It is an ethical principle that is present in numerous codes which states that forensic accountants should be and always remain coldly objective and skeptical even in Tech environments (Sathe, 2025; Malladhi, 2023). The activity of regulation at the cross-jurisdictional level signifies the beginning of awareness that the efforts to utilize artificial intelligence are to be regulated and managed by the law (Alsulami, 2025; Solanki, 2025). Ethical dilemmas such as the dilemma of introducing tech versus human reasoning are increasingly relevant, and scholars have argued that artificial intelligence is meant to augment, not replace, the human mind in financial investigations (Aman, 2024; Gartman & Pabillano, 2024).

The literature mentions a number of potential future developments of the forensic accounting practice. A combination of blockchain technology with Big Data will probably help to create the system that would be transparent and unalterable, thereby increasing the detection process as well as the legal suitability of the fraud-related evidence (Adelakun et al, 2024; Akinbowale et al., 2023). Collaborate Intelligence Models emphasize the need for human-computer collaboration and the division of labor whereby accounts professionals 'feed' the computers with data while the computers handle the analysis and reasoning processes (Solanki, 2025; Aman, 2024). Pathways of Continuous Adaptation puts forward the view that model professional practice requires re-training and remodeling of professionals to protect and automate the fairness constructs in handling ever-evolving artificial intelligence (AI) enabled frauds models (Benimana and Celestin, 2025; Alsulami, 2025). All these proposed directions imply that in the future the field of forensic accounting will not be dictated by technological advances exclusively, but rather by a harmonious mixture of controlled innovation, governance and the necessary skills.

In conclusion, forensic accounting uses information technology in multiple activities, including data storage, data processing and data retrieval, to produce analytical reports. It covers the full spectrum of a profession such as auditing, taxation and related consultancies services. Technology also helps specialists to retrieve and process data to conduct an accounting and audit with haste and deliver error free outcomes. Forensic accounting covers the entire scope of a profession that includes auditing, taxation, and related consultancy services. Auditors, tax practitioners, and associated professionals are aided by technology in rapid and effortless retrieval and processing of data during auto and management audits, producing remarkably accurate outputs.

References

Adelakun, B. O., Onwubuariri, E. R., Adeniran, G. A., & Ntiakoh, A. (2024). Enhancing fraud detection in accounting through AI: Techniques and case studies. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal, 6*(6), 978–999. https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i6.1232

Akinbowale, O. E., Mashigo, P., & Zerihun, M. F. (2023). The integration of forensic accounting and big data technology frameworks for internal fraud mitigation in the banking industry. *Cogent Business & Management, 10*(1), 2163560.

https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2163560

Akhan, J. A. (2024). Application of artificial intelligence in forensic accounting: A retrospective overview. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, 6*(4), 1–12.

Akomolafe, O. C. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence on forensic accounting practices in Canada: Examining adoption and utilization of AI technologies in forensic accounting practices and their implications for efficiency and effectiveness (Master's research project). University of Toronto Mississauga.

Al Mubarrat, A. (2025). Role of artificial intelligence and big data in modern forensic accountancy. Unpublished research paper, North South University, Dhaka.

Al Washah, A. S., El Zanati, M. D., & Weshah, S. R. (2024). The role of artificial intelligence methods in reducing internal control risks: Systematic literature review. *Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology, 57*(10), 95–104. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14034225

Alsulami, F. (2025). A strategy of synergizing Big Data and artificial intelligence in accounting: A comprehensive review of opportunities and challenges [Special issue]. *Corporate & Business Strategy Review, 6*(3), 299–310. https://doi.org/10.22495/cbsrv6i3siart7

Aman, Q. (2024). From data to discovery: The impact of technology on forensic accounting. *ILE Journal of Law and Forensic Science, 2*(1), 1–13.

Ayad, M., & El Mezouari, S. (2024). Investigating opportunities, challenges, and threats of artificial intelligence in accounting functions using a systematic literature review. *African Scientific Journal, 3*(24), 841–857. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12926948

Benimana, J. P., & Celestin, M. (2025). The impact of artificial intelligence and machine learning in forensic accounting for fraud detection in Rwanda. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education, 10*(1), 39–48. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15065709

Bulimu, A. L., & Onyuma, S. O. (2025). Corporate accounting practice on the horizon: Exploring the new frontiers and evolving trends of artificial intelligence and machine learning. *Journal of Finance and Accounting, 13*(4), 152–163. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jfa.20251304.12

Chávez-Díaz, J. M., Barrueta-Pinto, M., Murillo Luna, M. A., Valencia Arias, A., & Arquero, J. L. (2024). Integrated machine learning algorithms applied to the detection of financial accounting fraud, cases of Peruvian companies. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences, 22*(1), 4321–4335. https://doi.org/10.57239/PJLSS-2024-22.1.00316

Dash, M., Princy, A. S., Kumar, M. S., Guntaj, J., Jain, R., Yadav, A., & Hashmi, S. (2025). Artificial intelligence in auditing: Enhancing fraud detection and risk assessment. *International Journal of Accounting and Economics Studies, 12*(SI-1), 71–75. https://doi.org/10.14419/18h1yf22

Ganapathy, V. (2024). AI-based risk assessments in forensic auditing: Benefits, challenges and future implications. *Shodh Sari – An International Multidisciplinary Journal, 3*(4), 100–128. https://doi.org/10.59231/SARI7750

Gartman, S., & Pabillano, A. (2024, May 21). Artificial intelligence in forensic accounting work and fraud detection and prevention [Conference presentation]. Texas Society of CPAs Spring Conference, Houston, TX.

Igbokwe, I. C., Hillary, A. N., & Chinyereugo, U. M. G. (2025). The role of artificial intelligence in enhancing administrative accountability and financial reporting in selected public organization in Enugu State, Nigeria. *Newport International Journal of Current Research in Humanities and Social Sciences, 5*(2), 54–65. https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJCRHSS/2025/5.2.546500

Ikumapayi, O. J., & Ayankoya, B. B. (2025). AI powered forensic accounting: Leveraging machine learning for real-time fraud detection and prevention. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews, 6*(2), 236–250. https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0225.0712

Ikumapayi, O. J., & Ayankoya, B. B. (2025). AI powered forensic accounting: Leveraging machine learning for real-time fraud detection and prevention. *ResearchGate Preprint.* https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389007723

Karthik, J. P., & Varaparla, S. C. (2025). Application of forensic accounting and data analytics in detecting fraudulent transactions. *EPRA International Journal of Environmental Economics, Commerce and Educational*

Management, 12(5), 223–231. https://doi.org/10.36713/epra21942

Malladhi, A. (2023). Artificial intelligence and machine learning in forensic accounting. *SSRG International Journal of Computer Science and Engineering, 10*(7), 6–20. https://doi.org/10.14445/23488387/IJCSE-V10I7P102

Meesook, K., Imjai, N., Usman, B., Vongchavalitkul, B., & Aujirapongpan, S. (2025). The influence of AI literacy on risk management skills and the roles of diagnostic capabilities and prognostic capabilities: Empirical insight from Thai Gen Z accounting students. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights, 5*, 100341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjimei.2025.100341

Saifudin, S., Januarti, I., & Purwanto, A. (2025). The role of artificial intelligence in the audit process and how to fraud detections: A literature outlook. *Journal of Ecohumanism, 4*(1), 4185–4203. https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6301

Sathe, A. K. (2025). Forensic accounting in the age of AI: Detecting fraud with data anomalies. *International Journal of Social Impact, 10*(3), 493–502. https://doi.org/10.25215/2455/1003053

Solanki, H. S. (2025). AI convergence forensic accounting: Advances, developments and future insights. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, 12*(1), 292–309.

Veledar, B., Bašić, M., Demirović, L., & Beširević, E. (2024). Does artificial intelligence represent a threat to the accounting profession? *Journal of Forensic Accounting Profession, 4*(2), 67–85. https://doi.org/10.2478/jfap-2024-0010

Vishwakarma, L. A. (2025). Application of artificial intelligence in forensic accounting. *International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering, Technology and Science, 7*(3), 1637–1645.

Zheng, W., Tan, Y., Jiang, B., & Wang, J. (2025). Integrating machine learning into financial forensics for smarter fraud prevention. *Technology and Investment, 16*(3), 79–90. https://doi.org/10.4236/ti.2025.163006